## AN BINSE LUACHÁLA

#### VALUATION TRIBUNAL

## AN tACHT LUACHÁLA, 2001

#### **VALUATION ACT, 2001**

Ms Marie Boland APPELLANT

And

Commissioner of Valuation <u>RESPONDENT</u>

In Relation to the Issue of Quantum of Valuation in Respect of:

Property No. 797805, Retail (Shops), at 37 Clanbrassil Street Lower, County Borough of Dublin.

# JUDGMENT OF THE VALUATION TRIBUNAL ISSUED ON THE 13<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MAY, 2015\_\_\_\_

BEFORE:

Rory Lavelle – M.A., FRICS, FSCSI, ACI Arb Deputy Chairperson

Brian Larkin - BLMemberDolores Power - MSCSI, MRICSMember

By Notice of Appeal received on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014 the Appellant appealed against the determination of the Commissioner of Valuation in fixing a net annual value of €10,130.00 on the above described relevant property on the grounds as set out in the Notice of Appeal as follows:

"The Valuation is incorrect"

"I am appealing on the grounds that The Property [sic] does not have a rental value of €10,130"

<sup>&</sup>quot;The fact that the property has been vacant for 6 years"

"The current poor condition of The Property [sic] and the amount of money which would be required to carry out the extensive works to bring it to a condition where it was suited for retail renting does not appear to have been taken into account"

"The Property [sic] when compared to similar properties in its immediate neighbourhood is not valued correctly"

"The valuation which I consider ought to have been determined as being the valuation of the property concerned is  $\epsilon 2000$ ".

The Tribunal, having examined the particulars of the property the subject of this appeal; having confirmed its valuation history; having examined and considered the written evidence and having heard the oral evidence adduced before us by the parties to the appeal,

#### **DETERMINES**

That the net annual value of the subject property be as set out below:

€10,450 (unchanged).

### The reasoning being

The Tribunal is persuaded by the Respondents arguments in relation to the interpretation of Section 48 (3) of the Valuation Act 2011.